# Criteria

Valid since: 26. May 2008





# Content

1.	Pr	econditions	4
	1.1.	Eligibility	4
	1.2.	Additionality	5
2.	Su	stainable Forest Management	6
	2.1.	Environmental Aspects	6
	2.2.	Socioeconomic Aspects	7
	2.3.	Forest Management	8
3.	CC	$D_2$ -fixation	10
	3.2.	Calculation of VERfutures	10
	3.3.	Future CO <sub>2</sub> -fixation	12
	3.4.	Project emissions	13
	3.5.	Baseline	14
	3.6.	Leakage	15
4.	Pe	ermanence	18
	4.1.	Management Capacity	18
	4.2.	Financial Capacity	18
	4.3.	Technical Capacity	19
	4.4.	Protective Capacity	19
	4.5.	Secured Land Tenure	19
	4.6.	Compensation Activities	20
	4.7.	Buffer Fund	20
5.	Tra	ansparency	21
6.	An	inexe	22
	6.1.	Conversion Procedure - Example	22
	6.2.	Baseline Calculation - Example	23
	6.3.	Leakage Calculation - Example	24

# Acknowledgment

The development of the CarbonFix Standard v2.0 has benefited by suggestions from over 30 feedbacks during its public review. We would like to thank all participants as well as the technical board of CarbonFix.

For the further improvement of the Standard we encourage all readers to inform the *technical board* about possible mistakes, unclear expressions or suggestions of new criteria.



The CarbonFix Standard is based on 4 parts: Terms, Criteria, Procedures and Labelling



In the upper right corner of every document it is clearly visible which document you have opened.

The following description gives a short overview of the content of these documents.

### Terms

This part of the Standard defines the technical wording. In all documents of the Standard, the defined words are written in *italic*. Furthermore, this part describes the functionality of the different icons (e.g.  $\mathcal{I}$ ) used within the Standard.

This document is of particular interest to *project developers*, *project owners* and *certification bodies*.

### Criteria

For many users this document represents the core of the Standard. It includes the different criteria a project has to successfully certify according. The criteria are clustered in 5 main chapters as represented by the graph.

Some of the criteria refer to 'guidelines' which assist the *project developer* with additional information on how to meet certain criteria.



### Procedures

This document explains in detail how *project developers* can create their login account, upload their information and request for *validation*. Subsequently it describes how a *project* is certified and under which circumstances *projects* can be excluded.

The final chapters describe how the CarbonFix Standard is continuously being improved and what fees will be charged from the *project developer*.

The document is of specific value to *project developers*, *project owners* as well as for *certification bodies*.

### Labelling

Here, it is explained under which conditions the  $CO_2$ -buyer will be able to use the 'CO2code.info' label to promote his climate-neutral products or services **and** how the project developer or owner can use the CFS label for advertising the quality of his Climate Forest Project.



# 1. Preconditions

### 1.1. 🚹 Eligibility

Sufficient evidence must be given to the certification body to be able to confirm that the planting area is eligible according to the requirements of CFS.

🖉 🌯 and \land Project areas are only eligible:

- a. If the area had not been a forest\* for a minimum 10 years before the project start or since the 1st of January 1990.
- b. If the area is not wetland\* or protected area.

The criteria above mentioned must be evidenced by groundtruthed\* satellite images\*, aerial 1.1.2. photographs, official maps or land-use records.

 $\swarrow$  / Ine project owner must give evidence that his activity will lead to a forest according to the national forest definition.

1.1.4.

The project must establish its forests with 'trees'\*.

🖉 🜒 🕫 💽 🍩 The project owner must give sufficient evidence that the eligible planting area has 1.1.5. not been deforested in order to generate  $CO_2$ -certificates at a later time.

🖉 鴌 ा 📝 🍩 A *project* is not eligible, if more than 10% of its foreseen *planting area* is 11.6. agriculture area for food production at the project start.

Projects must not be <u>agroforestry</u>\* projects. Excepted are sustainable <u>silvopasture</u>\* 117 projects, which contribute to the aim of creating a forest.

Up to today there is no sufficient scientific evidence that proves the long-term stability of agroforestry projects.

🖵 🇞 and 🦡 or 🜓 The project start must be after the 11<sup>th</sup> of December 1997 (adoption of the 1.1.8. Kyoto Protocol).

Permanence

Preconditions

Sustainable Forest

Manageme

CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixation

http://glcfapp.umiacs.umd.edu:8080/esdi/index.jsp

Silvopasture projects use livestock such as cows or sheep to maintain the non-woody biomass in the understorey of the forest and may also create additional revenue streams.



<sup>\*</sup> Satellite pictures shall be groundthruthed according to the methodology described in the 'Inventory' guideline.

<sup>\*</sup> Cost free satellite images are available from the Global Land Cover Facility webpage:

Definition of trees: Trees are perennial, woody plants with one dominant sprout that increases its circumference due to secondary growth.

<sup>\*</sup> Definition of agroforestry according to ICRAF: 'Agroforestry is a collective name for land use systems and practices in which woody perennials are deliberately integrated with crops and/or animals on the same land management unit. The integration can be either in a spatial mixture or in a temporal sequence. There are normally both ecological and economic interactions between woody and non-woody components in agroforestry."

1.2.4





1.2.1. Sufficient evidence must be given to the certification body to be able to confirm that the project is additional according to the requirements of CFS.

1.2.2. To prove the additionality of the project, the *project owner* can choose between the following options:

**Option 1** - An official statement of a <u>bank</u><sup>\*</sup> which gives evidence that the *project* would not be feasible without the additional financial means from the sale of *VER*<sub>futures</sub>. The statement must be based on a realistic cash-flow which must be attached to the document.

- 🛛 🖉 🌑 and 🖅 Option 2 An analysis of 'Additionality' according to the UNFCCC guideline. GUIDELINE: Additionality
- 1.2.5. Option 2 must be applied if a *project* is set-up with the intention to be non-profitable.

A responsible state authority must approve that the forestation on the *planting area* is not mandatory by any law or regulation **or** if it is mandatory evidence must be given that these laws or 1.2.6. regulations are systematically not enforced.

 $\sqrt[2]{27}$  area under the foreseeable land-use, and without the *project* activities.

If parts of the project are planted without generating  $VER_{futures}$  (e.g. because the land is not 1.2.8. eligible), it must be assured that the additionality of the entire project remains valid.

CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixation

Managemen

Preconditions

Permanence



Upload 3rd party Description Literature - sample Field Interviews - sample - completely I۸ l← Not public Website Field - sample Desk review Literature - completely



Page 5 of 27

<sup>\*</sup> The bank must be one of the 50 biggest banks worldwide: http://www.gfmag.com/c\_aw/0510\_03.php



# 2. Sustainable Forest Management



<sup>\*</sup> Long-term is considered as a time-period of minimum 20 years.

3rd party

Upload

CFS - eng

Fie Field - sample

Field - completely Lit

Literature - sample

Literature - completely

Interviews - sample

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Genetically modified trees species are defined according to the FSC guideline: FSC-POL-30-602

2.1.14.



ho NI species must be site-adapted, also under changing climate conditions – considering the 2.1.13. latest IPCC report\*.

Two signed statements of

- a responsible forestry, wildlife or environmental authority, and a.
- b. a registered NGO in the environmental sector must confirm:
  - that the *project* operates according to national environmental laws,
  - that no native endangered (EN) and critically endangered (CR) species from the 'IUCN Red list'\* are being threatened due to project activities, and
  - that the project has a net positive impact on the environment.

2.2. 🚹 Socioeconomic Aspects

2.2.1. Sufficient evidence must be given to the certification body to be able to confirm the long-term net positive socioeconomic impact.

 $\swarrow$  The project owner must describe the current situation of the following parameter, together with the possible impacts caused by the project. c. Neighbourhood

- a. Creation of employment
- b. Capacity building
- management .
  - employees
- contractors •
- workers

- management employees
- contractors
- workers

displacement of people

welfare activities

Evidence must be given that positive impacts are enhanced and negative impacts are mitigated respectively avoided, if they are not essential for the *project* activities. 2.2.2.

Sustainable Forest Management

2.2.3.	ho $ ho$ A first aid kit must be reasonably acces	sible for all <i>workers</i> .	
2.2.4.	V 🜒 Workers must be able to organize them	selves and voluntarily negotiate with their employers.	
2.2.5.	All equipment (tools, machines, substra in safe working mode.	tes, etc.), including those of the <i>contractors</i> , shall be	
2.2.6.	Proper protective equipment and training when chemicals are used.	g of the workers must be implemented - especially	Perma
2.2.7.	$\sqrt[]{}$ A Children under the age of 16 are not all	owed to work for the <i>project</i> .	
	Contracts must clearly define the follow <b>For <i>employees</i></b> a. working hours and leave	<i>v</i> ing parameters: <b>For <i>contractors</i> a. tasks (quantity, quality, time)</b>	
	(holiday, sickness and pregnancy) b. duties	<ul><li>b. payment</li><li>c. modalities on the termination of the contract</li></ul>	

- salary C.
- d. modalities on health insurance
- modalities on the termination of the contract 2.2.8. **e.**

\* Latest IPCC report: http://www.grida.no/climate/ipcc\_tar - Report 'The Scientific Basis' - Chapter 10

\* IUCN Red list: http://www.iucnredlist.org/search/search-expert

Upload 3rd party Description Literature - sample Field - completely Interviews - sample **2** 1 + 1 Not public Website Field - sample Desk review Literature - completely



Sustainable Forest Management

Permanence





### Management Units

2.3.9. 2.3.9. The following information must be submitted for each *management unit*. The information is partly derived from other chapters:

- Start of the planting, or start of protection (in case of natural regeneration)
- Tree species used
- Area (ha)
- Foreseen *eligible planting area* (ha)
- GPS coordinates of a point within the management unit
- Future quantity of stored CO<sub>2</sub> (tCO<sub>2</sub>/ha) Chapter 'Future CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation'
- Fertilizer application (kg of N/ha) Chapter 'Project Emissions'
- Baseline CO<sub>2</sub> (tCO<sub>2</sub>/ha) Chapter 'Baseline'
- Leakage (tCO<sub>2</sub>/ha)
- Chapter 'Leakage'

Preconditions

Sustainable

Forest

Management

Maps & Locations

d.

e

f.

- 2.3.10. The following maps must be uploaded as JPG. They must show:
  - a. We location of the *project's* country.
  - b. I and A The location of the *project* area(s) within the country.
  - c. where and the nature conservation area(s).
    - with the foreseen planting area(s). (eligible and not eligible areas must be differentiated)
      - where the management units. (eligible and not eligible areas must be differentiated)
    - I with the meighbours around the project area.
  - g. and and The topography of the *project* area. (optional)
  - h. A The soil properties of the project area. (optional)

For each point also several maps can be uploaded. Each map has the option to attach an additional picture.

- 2.3.11. 2
  - Georeferenced, and
  - Visibly include the following information:
    - o Name of the project
    - o Printing date
    - o Scale
    - o Direction of North
    - o Legend
    - o Used GPS coordinate system
    - o Infrastructure (roads, houses, etc.), and rivers

If required, the GIS-shapefiles must be made available to the *certification body*.



Permanence

3<sup>rd</sup> party Description Field - completely Literature - sample Interviews - sample

+

Not public

Upload

11

<sup>\*</sup> GIS-maps are digitally generated maps, produced by programs such as ArcGIS or FreeGIS.



Precondition

Sustainable Forest Managemen

CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixation

# 3. CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation

3.1.1. Sufficient evidence must be given to the certification body to be able to confirm that the variables used for the calculation follow a conservative approach and that the amount of VER<sub>futures</sub> has been accurately calculated according to the CFS formulas.

For detailed information on the background of the CFS methodology, the file 'CFS methodology' can be downloaded from the CarbonFix website.

3.2. Calculation of VERfutures

3.2.1. To determine the amount of  $VER_{futures}$  the following formula will be used:



- 3.2.2. The formula is used individually for every management unit.
- 3.2.3. The CFS online system will automatically multiply the foreseen *eligible planting area* times the net CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation.
- 3.2.4. As the *eligible planting area* has already been determined (see chapter 'Management Unit'), the following paragraphs describe how the remaining variables are calculated.
- 3.2.5. The unit for all of the following variables is tons of  $CO_2$  per hectare ( $tCO_2/ha$ ).
- 3.2.6. For the calculation of the different variables (Future CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation, Baseline and Leakage) the following of carbon pools are selected:

Carbon Pools			Examples	Future CO <sub>2</sub> fixation	Baseline	Leakage
Aboveground Woody Living Biomass		Stem, bark, foliage and branches	Selected	Selected	Selected	
		Dead Biomass	Dead trees or branches			
	Non-	Living Biomass	Grass		Selected	
	woody	Dead Biomass	Dead grass, litter and seeds			
Belowground	Woody	Living Biomass	Roots	Selected	Selected	
		Dead Biomass	Died off roots			
	Non-	Living Biomass	Grassroots		Selected	
	woody	Dead Biomass	Died off grassroots and organic soil			
Wood products		Construction timber or furniture				
Wood as renew	able energ	у	Replacement of oil or coal			









Field - completely Lit

Literature - completely

Literature - sample

Page 10 of 27

Interviews - sample







# 3.3. Tuture CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation

VERfutures	Eligible planting are	ea *( + Future CO <sub>2</sub> -fixation	Project emissions	Preconditions
Sel	ected Carbo	n Pools	Examples	
Aboveground	Woody	Living Biomass	Stem, bark, foliage and branches	]
Belowground	Woody	Living Biomass	Roots	]

 $2^{\text{and}}$  To determine the future CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation, a *management unit* specific and scientifically based growth-model must be used. A description of this growth-model must be given.

The growth-model must cover,

- in case of selective harvesting or conservation forest, at least the time period up to the forest reaches its equilibrium Stem volume.
- $_{3.3.1.}$  in case of rotation forestry, at least the time period of the first rotation.

As soon as forest inventories can be conducted, the growth-model must be adapted corresponding to its results. These inventories must be executed before every *certification* process according to the 'Inventory' guideline. <sup>GUIDELINE: Inventory</sup>

3.3.2. according to the inventory guideline.

As forest growth-models most often only determine the m<sup>3</sup> of Stem volume, additional scientifically based parameters are necessary.

For the conversion to tons of CO<sub>2</sub> the chapter 'Conversion Procedure' and 'Conservative Approach' must be followed.

CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixation

Permanence

Sustainable

lanageme

3.3.4. The *project owner* must choose one of the following methods to determine the future CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation.

### Option 1 - Selective harvesting\* or Conservation Forest

In case of selective harvesting or conservation forest the future CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation is based on the equilibrium Stem volume. If the equilibrium Stem volume is not reached by year 50, the future CO<sub>2</sub>fixation is calculated by the maximum Stem volume within this first 50 years.





Page 12 of 27

Calculation of the future CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation in case of selective harvesting or conservation forest.

\* **Selective harvesting** is done by the continuous harvest of single trees or groups of trees without lowering the forest stock significantly.

 3<sup>rd</sup> party
 Description
 Field - completely
 Literature - sample
 Interviews - sample

 Not public
 Website
 Field - sample
 Desk review
 Literature - completely

Upload

I٩



3.3.6.

342

Calculating the future  $CO_2$ -fixation with this option can only be done if the *project owner* gives evidence with all aspects of its *project* (tree species, composition of tree species, history of the *project owner* etc.) that the aim of the project is to use the forest with a selective harvesting regime or to establish a conservation forest.

### **Option 2 - Rotation Forestry**

In case of rotation forestry, the future CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation is based on the mean Stem volume during the first rotation period. If the first rotation period takes longer than 50 years, the future CO<sub>2</sub>-3.3.7, fixation is calculated by the mean Stem volume within this first 50 years. Preconditions

CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixation



Note that the graph above only shows the rotation system within one management unit. Projects normally consist of multiple management units. Therefore, for the environmental aspects the size of such management units is most decisive.

Furthermore, it is possible that different management units are managed differntly. Example:

Planting year Silvicultural method Manag. Unit Conservation forest 2008 1 Selective logging 3 2008 2 2 3 Rotation forestry 2010 4 Conservation forest 2011

3.4. Project emissions



3.4.1. To account for *project* emissions, 0.5% of the *projects* CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation will be deducted due to the use of fossil energy within the *project* (machines, flights, etc.).

 $\sim$  and  $\sim$  In case fertilizer is used, 0.4 tCO<sub>2</sub> per kg of nitrogen (N) must be deducted.





3.5. 🔯 Baseline							
VERfutures	Eligible planting area	*(+ Future CO <sub>2</sub> -fixation	Project emissions				
S	elected Carbon	Pools	Examples	Precondition			
Aboveground	Woody	Living Biomass	Stem, bark, foliage and branches				
	Non-woody	Living Biomass	Grass				
Belowground	Woody	Living Biomass	Roots				
	Non-woody	Living Biomass	Grassroots				

3.5.1. The sum of baseline emissions is determined by the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> stored in the woody and nonwoody biomass on the foreseen and *eligible planting area* at the *project* start.

The baseline emissions are determined by using the following formula:							
<b>Baseline<sub>total</sub></b> tCO <sub>2</sub> / ha	= (Baseline <sub>wood</sub> = (tCO <sub>2</sub>	+ Baseline <sub>non-wood</sub> ) + tCO <sub>2</sub> )	/ Foreseen and eligible planting area / ha	Sustainable Forest Manageme			
Baseline <sub>total</sub> Baseline <sub>wood</sub> Baseline <sub>non-wood</sub>	<ul> <li>Total baseline emissions</li> <li>Emissions caused by woody living biomass on the eligible planting area</li> <li>Emissions caused by non-woody living biomass on the eligible planting area</li> </ul>						

Image: A standard standard

- Here, local default values\* shall be used preferably.
- <u>National default values</u>\* shall only be used if local default values are not available.
- 3.5.2. The same approach counts for international default values\*.

As the above mentioned default values are most often only determine in m<sup>3</sup> of Stem volume or tons of Fresh non-woody biomass, additional scientifically based parameters are necessary.

For the conversion to tons of  $CO_2$  the chapter 'Conversion Procedure' and 'Conservative Approach'  $_{3.5.3.}$  must be followed.

Examples can be found in the Annexe of this document.

354

preparation, an increase of 10% of the baseline emissions must be calculated.

CFS does not require a business-as-usual scenario as the additionality test confirms that no natural regeneration of a forest is possible.

Therefore, the most likely scenario is that biomass on the planting area will continue to reduce or stay in an equilibrium. Consequently, considering the  $CO_2$  stored in the existing biomass at the time of project start as baseline emissions leads to a conservative approach.



Permanence

CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixation

Upload 3<sup>rd</sup> party Description Field - completely Literature - sample Interviews - sample Not public Website Field - sample Desk review Literature - completely

<sup>\*</sup> Local default values are generated by an inventory of woody and non-woody biomass according to the 'Inventory' guideline. GUIDELINE: Inventory

<sup>\*</sup> The IPCC Good Practice Guide and FAO provide many different **national and international default values**: <u>http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gpglulucf/gpglulucf\_contents.htm</u> - Chapter 3, Annex 3A.1 <u>http://www.fao.org/docrep/W4095E/w4095e00.htm</u>





For the conversion to tons of CO<sub>2</sub> the chapter 'Conversion Procedure' and 'Conservative Approach' and be followed.



Examples can be found in the Annexe of this document.



Page 15 of 27

Not public

Upload

<sup>\*</sup> **Positive leakage** is defined as an increase of CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation due to the displacement of activities outwards of the *project* area.

<sup>3&</sup>lt;sup>rd</sup> party Description Field - completely Literature - sample Interviews - sample Website Field - sample Desk review Literature - completely



CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixation

### Leakage Formulas

- 3.6.6. The following formula must be used to ascertain the leakage emissions from:
  - a. Use of fuelwood
  - b. Production of charcoal
  - c. Production of timber

Leakage <sub>wood</sub> tCO <sub>2</sub>	= % of displacement* CO2-stock* Area= %* tCO2/ha* ha	Preconditions
The project owner mu	ust determine the following parameters:	
% of displacement	which will have impacts on the Aboveground woody living biomass outside the project area. The parameter is determined by: 1. estimations of the project owner (only possibilities: increase or constant = 100%, decrease = 75%) or 2. a representative survey	
CO₂-stock	<ul> <li>average CO<sub>2</sub>-stock per hectare on the area where the displaced activity will take place.</li> <li>If it is not known where the activity will be displaced to, it is possible to take: For category a. (Use of fuelwood) and b. (Production of charcoal)</li> <li>the CO<sub>2</sub>-stock of the area where the activity took place.</li> <li>For category c. (Production of timber)</li> <li>the CO<sub>2</sub>-stock of a natural forest</li> </ul>	Sustainable Forest Management
Area	= land within the project area affected by the activity	

B.6.7. The following formula must be used to ascertain the leakage emissions from:

- d. Agriculture farming
- e. Resettlement







- 3.6.8. The following formula must be used to ascertain the leakage emissions from:
  - f. Livestock farming

<b>Leakage</b> non-wood tCO <sub>2</sub>	<b>= % of displacement</b> = %	* <b>CO<sub>2</sub>-sto</b> * tCO <sub>2</sub> /ha	ock * Heads * head	* <b>Capacity</b> * ha/head			
The project owner must determine the following parameters:							
% of displacement	which will have impacts on the Aboveground woody living biomass outside the project area. The parameter is determined by: 1. estimations of the project owner (only possibilities: increase or constant = 100%, decrease =75%) or 2. a representative survey						
CO <sub>2</sub> -stock	<ul> <li>average CO<sub>2</sub>-stock per hectare of the land where the activity will take place.</li> <li>If it is not known where the activity will be displaced to, it is possible to take:</li> <li>the CO<sub>2</sub>-stock of a natural forest</li> </ul>						
Heads	<ul> <li>number of livestock with</li> <li>a representative surver</li> </ul>	Management					
Capacity	= average grazing capac	city					
Example of default value Tropical <u>dry climates</u> *: Tropical <u>wet climates</u> *:	es for average <u>grazing capacit</u> 0,5 head of cattle/ha 2,3 head of sheep/ha 1,0 head of cattle/ha 4,9 head of sheep/ha	t <u>ies</u> *: = 2 h = 0,43 h = 1 h = 0,20 h	na/cow na/sheep na/cow na/sheep				

CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixation

Permanence

Transparency

\* Source of **grazing capacities**: CDM-EB "Revised simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies for selected smallscale afforestation and reforestation project activities under the clean development mechanism" AR-AMS0001-version 03. <u>http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies</u>

\* Wet climates: Mean annual precipitation = 1.500-4.000 mm/a

Upload 3rd party Description Field - completely Literature - sample Interviews - sample 0 1 + 1 Ð Field - sample Not public Website Desk review Literature - completely



<sup>\*</sup> Dry climates: Mean annual precipitation = < 1.500 mm/a



CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixatio

Permanence

### 4. Permanence

Educational level Work experience

Type of employment

GPS and GIS know-how

must include an organizational chart.

Duties

Title

4.1.1. •

4.1.2.

4.1. 🔄 Management Capacity

4.1.1. Sufficient evidence must be given to the certification body to be able to confirm that adequate resources are available to implement and maintain the project, that secured land tenure is given for the projects long-term implementation, and that necessary compensations have been executed.

A list of the *management* staff must include the following information: Forest lanagemer ho The management structure must be sufficient to the extent of the work. The description

 $\swarrow$  The general decisions-making process must be described. Decisions shall be taken in an open and cooperative way. 4.1.3.

 $\swarrow$  — Within this management structure, work shall be executed according to the four-eye principle. This means that at least more than one person double-checks the work of another 4.1.4. person.

ho Note to the extent of the work, the management shall work with Standard Operational 4.1.5. Procedures\*.

 $2^{2}$  The project shall collaboratively cooperate with other organizations or individuals to 4.1.6. expand capacities of the management.

 ${
m /}$   ${
m <}$  The management of the project shall be able to continuously extend their knowledge and skills within their working field. 417

4.2. 🔄 Financial Capacity

4.2.1. With the cash-flow of the chapter 'Additionality' the project owner must give evidence that sufficient financial means are and will be available to finance the establishment and maintenance of the project.

The *project owner* must give evidence of his financial health. For example by:

- financial reports from the past 3 years, or
- an official accountant's opinion 422

\* Standard Optional Procedures are a step-by-step 'best current practice' guideline. They aim to reduce the variability of the technical implementation.

3rd party Description Literature - sample Interviews - sample Field - completely 0 l← 0 **1** Not public Website Field - sample Desk review Literature - completely

CFS - eng

Upload

I٩

Page 18 of 27

Nurserv

a.

4.3. 🔚 Technical Capacity

A list must describe the equipment used for the following activities:





f. Pruning

CFS - eng

Not public

Upload

I٩

3rd party

Description

Website

Page 19 of 27

Literature - completely

Interviews - sample

Literature - sample

Field - completely

Field - sample

20

Desk review



### 4.6. Compensation Activities

- 4.6.1. Compensation activities must be implemented, if
  - a. an adaptation of the growth-model\*, or
  - b. the destruction of the forest\*

lead to a shortage of calculated VER<sub>futures</sub> within a management unit.

- 4.6.2. The shortage must be compensated by the *project owner* within 12 months. It must be compensated by:
  - a. Replanted the management unit(s), and/or
  - b. Allocating VER<sub>futures</sub> from other management units, and/or
  - c. Purchasing VER<sub>futures</sub> from other CFS certified projects.

All possibilities of compensation must lead to the initially calculated amount of VER<sub>futures</sub>.

### 4.7. Buffer Fund

- 4.7.1. The CFS buffer fund provides additional security for  $CO_2$ -buyers in case a project is excluded from the Standard.
- 4.7.2. With the *certification* of a *project* 30% of its VER<sub>futures</sub> are allocated to the CFS buffer fund.
- 4.7.3. The CFS buffer fund only guarantees a disbursement worth 75% of the amount of *VER*<sub>futures</sub> available in the fund. 25% of the initial deposit is used by CarbonFix to build up a counterinsurance.
- 4.7.4. The fund only disburses VER<sub>futures</sub> in case a project is excluded.
  - Here, it first uses the VER<sub>futures</sub> of the fund to compensate possible deficits within management units of other projects that have purchased VER<sub>futures</sub> from the excluded project in order to compensate their own shortfalls, and only
  - 2. second, it compensates the CO<sub>2</sub>-buyers who have purchased VER<sub>futures</sub> from the excluded project.
- 4.7.5. The compensation will depend on the date of purchase. First purchases are served first.
- 4.7.6. The counterinsurance shall provide the security to compensate all purchases from this project.
- 4.7.7. The compensation is limited to 20 years after the project start.

The percentage of VER<sub>futures</sub> that must be deposited by the project owner will be adapted over time according to the experiences gained.

In case of a decrease, the surplus of VER<sub>futures</sub> will be given back to the project owner. In case of an increase, already certified projects must not upgrade their amount of VER<sub>futures</sub>.



Page 20 of 27

CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixation

- 4.7.8. If an adaptation of the CFS leads to a decrease of the initially calculated amount of *VER*<sub>futures</sub>, the difference will be compensated by the fund.
- 4.7.9. *CO<sub>2</sub>-certificates* of CFS certified *project* that have not been sold as *VER*<sub>futures</sub> or with the intention of becoming *VER*<sub>futures</sub> will not be compensated by the buffer fund.

\* An adaptation of the growth-model can have several reasons. Amongst others, · due to new information of the speed of growth (assessed by inventories), or · due to a change of forest management (e.g. prolonged rotation periods, or thinning regimes) \* The destruction of the forest can be a result of: • Natural catastrophes (wind, droughts, flooding, erosion, earthquakes, etc.) Diseases Mismanagement (poor establishment, maintenance, etc.) ٠ Force majeure (condemnation, war, etc.) The lack of protection (browsing, encroachment, fires, etc.) Upload 3<sup>rd</sup> party Description Literature - sample Interviews - sample Field - completely **2** l← Field - sample Not public Website Desk review Literature - completely



# 5. Transparency

- 5.1.1. Sufficient evidence must be given to the certification body to be able to confirm that the projects transparency is according to the requirements of CFS.
- 5.1.2. To provide transparency, the following information must be uploaded within the login area of the *project owner*.
  - a. A short description of the *project*.
  - b. A description of the *project*.
  - c. Contract C. Con
  - d. where the description of the state of the description of the descri
  - e. \_\_\_\_ and I The CV and a picture of the *project owners* representative.
  - f. T A description of the project area's history (including the historical land-use).
- 5.1.3.  $\square$  All sales of  $VER_{futures}$  must be registered.

Names of CO2-buyers as well as sales prices are not published - unless the CO2-buyers choose to.

5.1.4. Solution 5.1.4. Solution 5.1.4. Solution for the certification body and are part of the certification process.

Comments submitted through the project specific website are forwarded to the project owner and technical board. The project owner is free to decide about the publication of the comment. In case a comment includes information which indicates any non-compliance to the criteria of CFS, the technical board will take appropriate actions.

5.1.5. The status of *validation*, *certification*, and exclusion of a *project* will be published on the CarbonFix website.







CFS - eng







Literature - sample Interviews - sample

Literature - completely

Page 21 of 27

CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixation

Forest Managemer

Precondition



# 6. Annexe

### 6.1. Conversion Procedure - Example

The following examples of conversion procedure are calculated with default values of the conservative approach for the calculation of the baseline or leakage.							
1 $m^3$ of Stem volume converts to 9.2 tons of CO <sub>2</sub>							
Stem volume * BEF * (1+ Root-to-Shoot)	* Wood density	* Carbon fraction	* C-to-CO <sub>2</sub>				
$= 1 * 4.0 * (1+0.8) = 9.2 tCO_2$	* 0.7	* 0.5	* 3.666				
1 tons of Fresh non-woody biomass conver	rts to 4.6 tons of (	CO <sub>2</sub>					
Fresh non-woody biomass * Dry-to-Wet	* (1+ Root-to-Sho	oot) * Carbon	fraction * C-to-CO <sub>2</sub>				
= 1 * 0.5 = 4.6 tCO <sub>2</sub>	* (1+ 4.0)	* 0.5	* 3.666				
The following example of conversion procedure is calculated with default values of the conservative approach to determine the future $CO_2$ -fixation.							
1 $m^3$ of Stem volume converts to 0.7 tons of CO <sub>2</sub>							
Stem volume * BEF * (1+ Root-to-Shoot)	* Wood density	* Carbon fraction	* C-to-CO <sub>2</sub>				
$= 1 * 1.1 * (1+0.1) = 0.7 tCO_2$	* 0.3	* 0.5	* 3.666				



### 6.2. Baseline Calculation - Example

### Baselinewood

The total eligible planting area of a project has the size of 450 ha and consists of two strata. The 1<sup>st</sup> stratum is bushland and has according to a national default value 17.4 m<sup>3</sup> of wood standing on its hectare (stem volume). The size of this stratum is 350 ha. The  $2^{nd}$  stratum is 100 ha of grassland and therefore will be treated as the non-wood baseline (see next example).

The foreseen and eligible planting area of this stratum is 350 ha.

International default values are use for the Biomass Expansion Factor (BEF =1.3) and the Root-to-Shoot ratio (0.2 – relative figure).

For the wood density no scientific rigorous figure was found, therefore the conservative default value of 0.7 is used for the calculation.

0.5 3.666	= the carbon fraction of biomass = the factor to convert from C to CO <sub>2</sub>
Aboveg. wood volume	= Stem volume (over bark, 7 cm of cut diameter) * BEF = 17.4 * 1.3 = 22.6 m <sup>3</sup> /ha
Belowg. wood volume	= Aboveg. wood volume * Root-to-Shoot ratio = 22.6 * 0.2 = 4.5 m³/ha
Baseline <sub>wood</sub> = (Abo = (22. = <b>12</b> ,	oveg. wood v. + Belowg. wood v.) * Wood density * Carbon fraction * C-to-CO2 * Area         6       + 4.5       ) * 0.7       * 0.5       * 3.666       * 350         141 tCO2

### Baseline<sub>non-wood</sub>

As mentioned above the 2<sup>nd</sup> stratum of this project consists of grassland. Here, measurements determined a local default value of 3.9 tons of dry biomass (grass, herbs and scattered leaves) per hectare.

The stratum covers the foreseen and eligible planting area of 100 ha.

For the Root-to-Shoot ratio the international default value of 1.58 is used (relative figure).

$0.5$ = the carbon fraction of biomass $3.666$ = the ratio to convert from C to $CO_2$							
Abo. non-w. biomass	s = 3.9 t dm/ha						
Belg. non-w. biomas	s = Abo. non-w. bio = 3.9 = 6.2 t dm/ha	= Abo. non-w. biomass * Root-to-Shoot ratio = 3.9 *1.58 = 6.2 t dm/ha					
Baseline <sub>non-wood</sub> = (Al = (3. = 1,8	boveg. non-w. biom 9 318 tCO <sub>2</sub>	. + Below + 6.2	rg. non-w.	biom.) * ) *	* Carbon fraction * 0.5	n * C-to-CO * 3.666	² * Area * 100
Baseline <sub>total</sub>							
Baseline <sub>total</sub> = ( = ( = 3	Baseline <sub>wood</sub> 14,470 <b>36 tCO<sub>2</sub>/ha</b>	+ Baseli + 1,818	ne <sub>non-wood</sub> ) )		/ Foreseen and / (350 +100)	l eligible pla	anting area
Note that the baseline emissions must be rounded off to a full ton							



### 6.3. Leakage Calculation - Example

#### Leakage<sub>total</sub>

A project has a project area of 750 hectares. According to the project owner, 450 ha of this area are eligible under CFS and are foreseen to be planted.

The total leakage calculated for the project is 7,800 tCO<sub>2</sub>.

```
Leakage<sub>total</sub> = (Leakage<sub>wood</sub> + Leakage<sub>non-wood</sub>) / Foreseen and eligible planting area
= 7,800 tCO<sub>2</sub> / 450ha
= 17 tCO<sub>2</sub>/ha
```

Note that the leakage emissions must be rounded off to a full ton.

#### Leakagewood – a. Use of fuelwood

People neighbouring the project area used to collect fuelwood on the project area. The foreseen planting area of the project has the size of 450 ha (Area). The nature conservation area of the project is natural forest and has the size of 300 ha (Area).

The project owner expects that all people (% of displacement) have to find other places to collect their fuelwood from, because the planting area will be planted and the nature conservation area will be protected.

According to a representative survey, 80 % of the fuel-wood collected is dead-wood. Therefore, it is considered that only on 20 % of the area aboveground woody living biomass is affected.

All fuelwood is collected from the 450 ha of foreseen planting area. The nature conservation area with its natural forest is too dense for the people to collect their fuelwood from.

According to the project owner it is not known where exactly the activities will be shifted to. Therefore, the  $CO_2$ -stock of the area where the activities took place can be used – see formula. This  $CO_2$ -stock was already determined by the baseline analysis. The carbon stock of the aboveground woody living biomass was calculated to be 35 t $CO_2$ /ha ( $CO_2$ -stock).

Leakagewood	= % of displacement	* CO <sub>2</sub> -stock	* Area
	= 100%	* 35	* 450 * 20%
	= 3,150 tCO <sub>2</sub>		

### Leakagewood - b. Production of charcoal

Villagers of surrounding settlements used to burn charcoal on the 450 ha planting area (Area). Due to the tree planting activities the villagers will have to find other bushland to cut their living biomass from.

A representative survey determined that 25% (% of displacement) of the people would continue their work outside the project area as soon as the project starts. Others could be employed to plant trees or would find other jobs.

The baseline on this area was calculated to be 35 tCO<sub>2</sub>/ha (CO<sub>2</sub>-stock).

Leakage <sub>wood</sub> = % of displacement = 25% <b>= 3'938 tCO</b> <sub>2</sub>	* CO <sub>2</sub> -stock * 35	* Area * 450
---	----------------------------------	-----------------



#### Leakage<sub>wood</sub> – c. Production of timber

Villagers of surrounding settlements used to cut timber on 300ha (Area) on the natural conservation area within the project. Due to the tree planting activities the villagers will have to find other places to harvest timber or change jobs.

The estimation of the project owner is that most of the timber cutters will need to find different employment, since other land for cutting timber is not available in the vicinity and most of them have family and land within the area. Therefore, it is estimated that the amount of timber being cut will decrease (% of displacement).

The average stem volume of these 300 ha is  $100 \text{ m}^3$ /ha according to a national default value. According to an international default value the Biomass Expansion Factor is 2. For all other parameters, the default values of the 'conservative approach' are taken: Root-to-Shoot ratio = 0.8 and Wood density = 0.7.

= the carbon fraction of biomass 0.5 3.666 = the factor to convert from C to  $CO_2$ = Stem volume \* BEF \* (1+ Root-to-Shoot) \* Wood density \* Carbon fraction \* C-to-CO<sub>2</sub> CO<sub>2</sub>-stock = 100 \* 2.0 \* 0.7 \* 3.666 \* (1+ 0.8) \* 0.5 = 462 tCO<sub>2</sub>/ha Leakage<sub>wood</sub> = % of displacement \* CO<sub>2</sub>-stock \* Area = 75% \* 462 \* 300  $= 103,950 tCO_2$ 

#### Leakagenon-wood – d. Agricultural activities

A family has sold its property to the project owner to be able to move closer to their elderly parents. On their 700 ha farm they were planting 50ha (Area) of maize and weed.

The family confirms to the project owner that the farming land will stay the same (% of displacement) on the property they are moving to.

As it is not known where exactly the family will move to, the national default value of a natural forest is taken. It is  $250 \text{ m}^3$  of wood per hectare.

According to a national default value the Biomass Expansion Factor for natural forests is 1.5 and the average Wood density is 0.5. The Root-to-Shoot ratio has been taken from default values of the 'conservative approach' = 0.8.

0.5 = the carbon fraction of biomass 3.666 = the factor to convert from C to  $CO_2$ = Stem volume \* BEF \* (1+ Root-to-Shoot) \* Wood density CO<sub>2</sub>-stock \* Carbon fraction \* C-to-CO<sub>2</sub> \* 1.5 = 250 \* (1+ 0.8) \* 0.5 \* 0.5 \* 3.666 = 619 tCO<sub>2</sub>/ha Leakage<sub>non-wood</sub> = % of displacement \* CO<sub>2</sub>-stock \* Area = 100%\* 619 \* 50  $= 30,950 tCO_2$ 



#### Leakagenon-wood – e. Resettlements

Due to the tree planting activities, one village of 10 people within the project area must be resettled. All villagers have agreed to this and will get a financial compensation to buy new houses and land. According to the amount of financial compensation, the project owner expects the people to buy more land that they had before. Within the project area, the community lived on 3 hectares (Area) of land.

A survey determined that 90% (% of displacement) of the people will buy land close to the project area, since they are used to the region. As the type of vegetation inside and outside the project area is very similar, the information of the baseline analysis is taken to determine carbon stock of the aboveground woody living biomass. It is calculated to be  $15 \text{ tCO}_2$ /ha (CO<sub>2</sub>-stock).

10% (% of displacement) of the people are expected to move elsewhere. Here, the national default value for forest is taken. It is 230 tCO<sub>2</sub> per ha (CO<sub>2</sub>-stock).

Leakage <sub>non-wood-1</sub>	= % of displacement = 90% = 40 tCO <sub>2</sub>	* CO <sub>2</sub> -stock * 15	* Area * 3
Leakagenon-wood-2	= % of displacement = 10% = 69 tCO <sub>2</sub>	* CO <sub>2</sub> -stock * 230	* Area * 3
Sum	= 40 + 69 tCO <sub>2</sub> = 109 tCO <sub>2</sub>		

### Leakagenon-wood – f. Livestock grazing

A survey has determined that 500 heads of cattle (Heads) are grazing within the project area of 700ha. The project is situated in a tropical dry climate. Therefore, the sustainable grazing capacity is 0.5 cows per hectare. This equals to 2 hectares per cow (Capacity).

A survey with the cattle keepers resulted that 20% of them will move to other land which impacts woody living biomass (% of displacement). The remaining 80% will find other jobs or move to land where woody biomass is not impacted.

The CO<sub>2</sub>-stock where the displacement will take place is expected to be a combination of bush- and grassland with an average woody carbon stock of 20 tCO<sub>2</sub> per hectare (CO<sub>2</sub>-stock).

Leakage <sub>non-wood</sub>	= % of displacement = 20% <b>= 4,000 tCO</b> <sub>2</sub>	* CO <sub>2</sub> -stock * 20	* Heads * 500	* Capacity * 2

### Legal Notice

### Copyright

The CarbonFix Standard including its logo is copyrighted property of the non-profit association CarbonFix. All rights reserved.

### Disclaimer

No representation, warranty or guarantee is made with the CFS documents. Neither the association CarbonFix nor its representatives or employees will be liable for any mistakes or damages resulting from the use of this information. CarbonFix expressively disclaims any liability for losses due to project exclusions.

Furthermore, CarbonFix is not responsible for any actions or omissions of *project developers*, *project owners*, *CO*<sub>2</sub>-*buyers* or *certification bodies*.

#### Disputes

The German Law is applicable for any legal disputes with the association CarbonFix. The place of jurisdiction is Staufen, Germany. (Registrar of Association: Amtsgericht Staufen No. VR 429)

CarbonFix is not responsible for legal disputes amongst *project developers, project owners, CO*<sub>2</sub>-buyers or *certification bodies.* 

Potential conflicts between international or domestic laws and the CarbonFix Standard will be evaluated by the *technical board*.

© 2008, CarbonFix e.V.